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Making Work Easier; Better Outcomes
What is RTI?

A Google listing on RTI includes:
• RTI International Metals
• RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC)
• Remote Technologies Inc.
• Real Time Innovations, Inc.
• Restaurant Technologies Incorporated
• Record Technology, Inc
What is RTI?

- Response to Intervention
- Organizational framework for instructional and curricular decisions and practices based on students’ responses

RTI Components
- Screening
- Tiers of instruction
- Progress monitoring
- Fidelity indicators

National Center on Response To Intervention
www.rti4success.org
What do we mean by RTI?

Response to intervention integrates assessment and intervention within a multi-level prevention system to maximize student achievement and to reduce behavior problems.

With RTI, schools identify students at risk for poor learning outcomes, monitor student progress, provide evidence-based interventions and adjust the intensity and nature of those interventions depending on a student’s responsiveness, and identify students with learning disabilities or other disabilities.
Views on RTI applications

Genesis

- Public health applied to education
  - Prediction
  - Inoculation, and
  - Tiered intervention
- School-wide reform
  - Meeting AYP; data driven
  - Shifting staff roles
  - Accountability
- Component of disability determination

Distinct Uses

1. Prevention (kdg & early 1st grade) (e.g., McMaster et al., O’Connor et al., Torgesen et al., Vaughn et al., Vellutino et al.)
2. Intervention for students with achievement or behavior problems
3. As a component of SLD determination (e.g., Fuchs et al.; Speece et al.)
How do you suppose parents felt about their children’s participation as their school implemented RTI?

• In our national study of 60+ elementary schools, we spent considerable time hearing from parents in 21 of those schools.
• The anecdotal comments were very affirming:
  ✓ More aware
  ✓ Better communication
  ✓ Better progress
## Purposes of Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SCREENING</strong></th>
<th><strong>PROGRESS MONITORING</strong></th>
<th><strong>DIAGNOSTIC</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School-wide</td>
<td>Class/small group/student</td>
<td>Individual student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad index</td>
<td>Specific academic skill or behavioral targets</td>
<td>Specific academic domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly/ 3x/monthly ID at-risk</td>
<td>≤ 3 wks/weekly/daily Regroup student</td>
<td>Yearly ID specific student deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School focus</td>
<td>Student focus Intervention effectiveness (curriculum / instr)</td>
<td>Student focus Selecting curric &amp; instr methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class/school instr &amp; curric decisions</td>
<td>Continue or revise placement</td>
<td>Planning or specifying intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; step for intervention planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*National Center on Response To Intervention*

Levels of Prevention: Academic Interventions

**Primary Level** The focus is on student population. Core academic curriculum (dictated by state or district standards and school) in combination with adaptations and accommodations made by general educators;
Levels of Prevention: Academic Interventions

Secondary Level—The focus is on some students who are not responsive to the primary level. Evidence-based practices or small-group tutoring on academic subjects, typically conducted by trained and supervised tutors;
Link to IDEA: Early Intervening Services
Levels of Prevention: Academic Interventions

*Tertiary Level*—The focus is on those few students needing the most intense interventions. Individualized instruction on modified instructional content and materials, related to the general education program. Instruction is delivered in smaller groups than at the secondary level, is more tailored to individual needs or skill deficits, with longer and more frequent sessions. The tertiary level also requires ongoing progress monitoring to inductively formulate instructional programs.

Is not synonymous with special education.
IES Resources for RTI

Welcome to WWC
A central and trusted source of scientific evidence for what works in education.

What's New?
- WWC Releases New Chance Intervention Report - Jan 24
  New Chance, a program for young welfare mothers who have dropped out of school, aims to improve both their employment potential and their parenting skills. (more info)

- First Things First Intervention Report Released - Jan 24
  Find out how the WWC rated First Things First, a reform model intended to transform schools serving economically disadvantaged students. (more info)

- Customizable Rating Charts - Dec 28
  Create your own chart by learning outcomes and effectiveness. (more info)

- Encouraging Girls in Math and Science - Sep 26
  Encouraging Girls in Math and Science is the second in a series of IES guides in education: Organizing Instruction and Study to Improve Student Learning is the third IES Practice Guide. (more info)

- WWC Releases New Intervention Report - Success for All (SEA) is a comprehensive...
The Beginning Reading What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) review focuses on reading interventions for students in grades K–3 (or ages 5-8) that are intended to increase skills in **alphabets** (phonemic awareness, phonological awareness, letter recognition, print awareness and phonics), **reading fluency**, **comprehension** (vocabulary and reading comprehension), or **general reading achievement**. Interventions for this review are defined as programs, products, practices, or policies that are intended to increase skills in the areas named above.

For the first set of intervention Beginning Reading reports, the WWC focused on “branded” programs and products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Alphabets</th>
<th>Comprehension</th>
<th>Fluency</th>
<th>General reading achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Reader/Reading Renaissance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Discrimination in Depth (ADD) / Lindamood Phonemic Sequencing (LIPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DaisyQuest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Recovery®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table of FCRR Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Type of Program</th>
<th>Grade Reviewed</th>
<th>Reading Component</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academy of Reading</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>3-12</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>a, b, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Literacy Learning (A.L.L.)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>a, d, l, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Reader</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>K-12</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>d, e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough to Literacy</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>K-2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>a, b, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classworks</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>K-8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compass Learning Odyssey Reading</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>K-2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension Plus</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrective Reading</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
<td>4-12</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>a, b, c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination Reading</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>K-3</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>a, b, c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discover Intensive Phonics for Yourself</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>K-12</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Success</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earobics</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>K-3</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>a, b, c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements of Reading, Comprehension</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>K-3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>c, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements of Reading, Fluency</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking for SBR Interventions

Which educational programs have been successfully evaluated in valid research? This website presents reliable, unbiased information on high-quality evaluations of educational programs. It includes:

- Brief, readable “educator’s summaries” of research on educational programs, using symbols like those used by Consumer Reports
- Full-text reviews on each topic

Contact Us | Get BEE e-mail updates
Levels of Prevention: Behavioral Interventions

Primary Level - school-wide and classroom management strategies;

Secondary Level - targeted social behavior interventions which typically focus on students’ specific skills (Link to IDEA EIS) and

Tertiary Level - specific, time-intensive assessments to (a) determine individual skill deficits and (b) develop an individual intervention.
Nature of Special Education

Reform special education so it represents a viable and important tier within the multi-tiered prevention system

• Students judged as having disability from a comprehensive evaluation
• Individualized programs formulated inductively using CBM and reflected in IEP
• Intensive instruction conducted individually for sufficient duration to be effective
• Criteria specified and monitored to exit students so that placement is flexible and used only as required
• Services may be provided across tiers
Model Site Common Characteristics

1. Multiple year *priority* investment, but “We’re not there yet.”
2. Building level administrative leadership
3. Student-level problem-solving framework
4. Not standard intervention protocols in Tier 2
5. Parental notification procedures and engagement
Questions to Consider for Your RTI Implementation

1. How many tiers/layers of services (2-5)?
2. What is the nature of secondary and beyond tiers?
   - Individualized (i.e., problem solving)
   - Standardized research-based protocol
3. How are at-risk students identified in academics and behavior?
4. How is “response” defined?
   - Final status on norm-referenced test or using a benchmark
   - Pre-post improvement
   - CBM slope and final status
5. What happens to students with very slow/low response?
Effective Behavior & Instructional Support *(EBIS)* *(Combined PBS, EIS, RTI)*

• U.S. Ed, OSEP Model Demonstration (#H324T000025) 1/1/2001-12/31/2005
  – Tigard-Tualatin School District (suburban, Oregon, 13,000 students, 10 elementary schools, Title 1 in 5)
  – Project Director: Carol Sadler, Ph.D. Psychologist/Administrator (retired)
    casadler@verizon.net

• Added early reading and RTI (as a component of the evaluation of mild disabilities, primarily LD) **to district’s five year implementation of Effective Behavior Support** *(EBS, aka PBS/Positive Behavior Interventions & Supports)*

*National Center on Response To Intervention*
www.rti4success.org
EBIS Prevention and Planning Models

Primary Prevention:
School-/Classroom-Wide Systems for All Students, Staff, & Settings

~80% of Students

Secondary Prevention:
Specialized Group Systems for Students with At-Risk Behavior

~15%

Tier 1:
Research Based Core Programs, Universal Screening, Identification of Students with Greater Needs

Tier 2:
Effective, Strategic Interventions and Strategies, Progress Monitoring

Tier 3:
Individualized Strategies

Tertiary Prevention:
Specialized Individualized Systems for Students with High-Risk Behavior

~5%

Students

Academic & Social-Behavioral Skills

PBS Prevention Model

National Center on Response To Intervention
www.rti4success.org
All K-5 students are tested with DIBELS. Other data is gathered (academic, behavior, attendance).

EBIS Team reviews data with each grade level teacher team to identify lowest 20%. Interventions and progress monitoring are planned by team and teachers, and implemented by teachers for 4+ weeks.

EBIS and teachers review intervention progress:
- Progress
  - Progress
  - Progress
  - Progress

Revise and implement 2nd group intervention, monitor:
- Progress
  + Progress
  + Progress

EBIS Team uses Problem Solving format to explore alternative explanations for lack of progress, develops individualized intervention:
- Progress
  + Progress
  + Progress

Special Education referral is initiated:
- Progress
  - Progress

Now, what does the team think?
Improvement appears related to other factors.
Resume general program.
Continue intervention for another cycle and monitor progress.

From: Effective Behavior and Instructional Support: A District Model for Early Identification and Prevention of Reading and Behavior Disabilities, Sadler & Sugai, 2006, in process. Do not use without permission from author (casadler@verizon.net).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Program Options</th>
<th>Secondary Level: Time &amp; Group Size</th>
<th>Program Options</th>
<th>Tertiary Level: Time and Group Size</th>
<th>Program Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| K     | Open Court SFA  | Add 10-15 minutes daily of PA activities Large group | *Ladders to Literacy  
*PA in Young Children  
*Road to the Code | Add 30 minutes daily Small group ((varies based on progress) | *Early Reading Intervention  
*Language for Learning |
| 1     | Open Court SFA  | Add 30 minutes daily Small group | *Open Court Booster  
*SFA Tutoring | Add 30 minutes Small group ((varies based on progress) | *Early Reading Intervention  
*Language for Learning |
| 2     | Open Court SFA  | Add 45 minutes daily Small group | *Phonics For Reading AND  
*Read Naturally  
*Reading Success | Add 2 45 minute sessions Small group ((varies based on progress) | *Reading Mastery AND  
*Read Naturally  
*Language for Learning |
| 3     | Open Court SFA Reading Mastery | Add 45 minutes daily Small group | *Open Court Intervention  
*Phonics For Reading  
*Read Naturally  
*Reading Success | 30-45 minutes of primary instruction (vocabulary/comp) ADD two 45 minute sessions daily Small group (varies based on progress) | *Reading Mastery AND  
*Read Naturally  
*Reading Mastery |
| 4     | Houghton-Mifflin SFA Reading Mastery | Skill group during primary instruction based on area(s) of need Add 15-30 minutes of small group as needed | *REWARDS  
*Six-Minute Solution  
*Read Naturally  
*Collaborative Strategic Reading  
*Navigate  
*STARS/CARS  
*Connections for Comp | 30-45 minutes of primary instruction (vocabulary/comp) ADD between 45-90 minutes daily depending on need Small group (varies based on progress) | *Reading Mastery  
*Horizons  
*Read Naturally  
*Great Leaps  
*Corrective Reading  
*Reading Mastery |

*Reading Success
**EBIS Team Structure: Ex:**  
Tualatin Elementary School

**GRADE LEVEL TEAMS**  
Meet monthly  
Plan, implement and monitor interventions for 20% group, with EBIS team support

**EBIS TEAM**  
Meets weekly  
Includes principal, counselor, literacy specialist, special education, ELL specialists, and classroom teacher representatives from each grade level  
Monitors all students in small group and individual interventions  
Oversees RTI fidelity and makes referrals to special education

**EBS TEAM**  
Meets Twice Monthly  
Plans & implements school-wide supports

**INDIVIDUAL STUDENT CASE MANAGEMENT**  
Implements and progress monitors students in intensive interventions (RTI process)

**CONTENT AREA TEAMS** (aka Professional Learning Communities)  
Meet Monthly  
Recommend curriculum and instructional improvements across all content areas: Reading/Language Arts, Math, Science, and Behavior

---

National Center on Response To Intervention  
www.rti4success.org
How the EBIS Team Process Works

The EBIS team has three purposes:

→ To review school-wide behavior and academic data in order to evaluate the effectiveness of core programs.
→ To screen and identify students needing additional academic and/or behavior support.
→ To plan, implement and modify interventions for these students. Depending on each student’s “response to intervention,” a formal referral for special education evaluation may result.

• EBIS is intended to be a structured, systematic process involving the following features and activities: team membership, planning for all students (school-wide), planning for the 20% (targeted groups), monthly meetings, and individualizing-intensifying interventions.
EBIS Decision Rules – Grades 1-5

Place students in the 20% group when:
- Academic skills fall below benchmark and place them in the lowest 20% compared to their peers on one or more of the following measures: DIBELS, DORF, Math & Writing curriculum based assessments, OSA.
- Chronic problems with attendance and/or socio-emotional-behavioral skills occur, as defined by:
  - More than 5 absences in a 30 day period
  - 3 or more discipline or counseling referrals in a 30 day period

Modify interventions when:
- Progress monitoring indicates 3 or more data points below the aim line.
  - If data is highly variable, maintain the current intervention for another month to establish a trend line.

  Progress is monitored once weekly

Individualize interventions when:
- Progress trend under small group instruction is below the airline for two consecutive intervention periods (at 8, 12 or 16 weeks, depending on the data).

Refer to Special Education when:
- After one highly structured, individualized intervention, progress continues below airline.
  - Progress is monitored twice weekly or more frequently if needed

National Center on Response To Intervention
www.rti4success.org
T-TSD SLD (Reading) Case Study: Mary

- Tier 1- K: 60’ Open Court, general class
  - DIBELS January, PSF (Phoneme Segmentation Fluency): 11 (Norm: 7-18; category: “some risk”)

- Tier 2
  - January-March, small group intervention: “Road to the Code,” 15’ day, group of 6, taught by “trained instructional assistant (IA)” (March PSF: 41—norm=>35-- Intervention discontinued

- Tier 1- 1st: 60’ Open Court, general class
  - DIBELS September, NSF: 20 (norm=25)

- (1) Tier 2: “Open Court Booster,” 30’ day, group of 12, trained IA
- (2) Tier 2: November, NWF up 4 pts, moved to group of 6
  - January, NWF up to 37 wpm, norm=50 ORF=3 wrc, norm=20. From Nov-Jan, Mary’s score increased by 8 pts. while group averaged 15 pts.

- Tier 3: Late January, “Early Reading Intervention,” 30’ in addition to 60’ core, group of 4, trained IA, 8 weeks
  - NWF: 41 (increase of 4 wrc, norm=50) ORF: 11 (increase of 8 wrc, norm=40)
  - Peers in small group increased NWF avg. 10 pts. and ORF avg. of 12 pts.
## DIBELS Program Effectiveness

**data from Tigard-Tualatin “Early Intervening”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%/# Students in DEFICIT range</th>
<th>2000-01</th>
<th>2005-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISF Beginning K</td>
<td>16% (116 students)</td>
<td>16% (118 students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF End K</td>
<td>7% (50 students)</td>
<td>3% (23 students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNF Beginning 1st</td>
<td>22% (159 students)</td>
<td>11% (87 students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF End 1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20% (133 students)</td>
<td>9% (70 students)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Early Identification?**

|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|


Grade 1: 2004=8; 2006=12 Grade 2: 2004=19; 2006=49

---

[National Center on Response To Intervention](www.rti4success.org)
Analyzing Change vs. Stability

RTI Components (Technology)
- Current practices
- Change agent

Perceived Role (Personal Theory)
- Professional beliefs
- Context

School Culture (Social System)
- Team relationships
- Team chemistry

William Reid (1987)

National Center on Response To Intervention
www.rti4success.org
NRCLD LD Resource Kit

Getting to Know Your Learning Disabilities Resource Kit

The National Research Center on Learning Disabilities has developed this resource kit to help you navigate changes related to specific learning disability (SLD) determination and responsiveness to intervention (RTI).

Specific Learning Disability and Responsiveness to Intervention General Information
The general information in this folder covers a broad range of issues and provides a solid background on SLD and RTI.

Specific Learning Disability and Responsiveness to Intervention Tools for Change
The tools in this folder will help you begin to make changes in the procedures you use for specific learning disability determination.

Getting Started with SLD Determination: After IDEA Reauthorization
The information, activities, and worksheets in this manual will guide you and your team as you examine the current SLD determination process in your state, district, or school; define your challenges and your conceptualization of SLD; and design and enact new models of SLD determination.

Responsiveness to Intervention: How to Do It
This tool will help you design and implement responsiveness to intervention models in the context of SLD determination. This manual provides comprehensive coverage of RTI features, based on current research.

Electronic Resources CD
This CD contains electronic resources to support your efforts to make changes to the SLD determination procedures in your state, district, or school.

PowerPoint Presentations
The handouts in this folder accompany the PowerPoint presentations found on the Electronic Resources CD in this kit.

Parent Pages
As your schools move forward with changes in SLD determination procedures—possibly adopting responsiveness to intervention as one component—parents likely will have questions. The materials in this folder are designed to help you answer these questions.

Winter 2007
All materials in this kit are in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted. Although permission to reprint these publications is not necessary, please include the citation provided on each document.

NRCLD is a joint project of researchers at Vanderbilt University and the University of Kansas. This document was produced under U.S. Department of Education Grant No. H132E0301991. Renee Steele served as the project office. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the Department of Education. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education of any product, commodity, service or enterprise mentioned in this publication is intended or should be inferred.
For Information about RTI, Training & Research

- National Center for Student Progress Monitoring
  - www.studentprogress.org
- Center on Instruction
  - http://www.centeroninstruction.org/
- IDEA Partnership
  - http://ideapartnership.org/
- IRIS Center
- Florida Center on Reading Research
  - http://www.fcrr.org/Curriculum/PDF/PrincipalWalkthroughThirdGradeFinal.pdf
- RTI TA Center
Thank You
On the web @ nrclid.org

Daryl Mellard
DMellard@ku.edu
785-864-7081