



**References and Resources from SIG Webinar *Effective Implementation of SIG:  
A Systems-based Approach to Implementing Instructional Change*  
Choosing and Implementing Technology Wisely**

- Adams, S.T. (2005). A strategy for technology training as part of a Master's program conducted at a school site. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 13(3), 493-514.
- Bailey, J. (2004). Making the Case: Research Efforts on Educational Technology A Closer Look at Scientifically Based Research, *THE Journal (Technological Horizons In Education)*, 31.
- Bausch, M. E., Ault, M. J., Emenova, A. S., & Behrmann, M. M. (2008). *Going beyond AT devices: Are AT services being considered?* *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 23(2), 1-16.
- Billig S. H., Sherry, L., & Havelock, B. (2005). Challenge 98: sustaining the work of a regional technology integration initiative. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 36(6), 987-1003.
- Boethel, M., & Dimock, K. (1999). *Constructing with technology: A review of the literature*. Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.
- Bonifaz, A. & Zucker, A. (2004). *Lessons Learned About Providing Notebooks for All Students*. Northeast and the Islands Regional Technology in Education Consortium.
- Brinkerhoff, J.M. (2002). Assessing and improving partnership relationships and outcomes: a proposed framework. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 25, 215-231.
- Burns, M. & Dimock, K. V. (2007). *Technology as a catalyst for change: Beyond boxes and bandwidth*. Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.
- Carrigg, F., Honey, M., & Thorpe, R. (2005). Moving from successful local practice to effective state policy: Lessons from Union City. *Scaling up success: Lessons learned from technology-based educational improvement* (pp. 1-26). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Center for Education. (2007). *Enhancing Professional Development for Teachers Potential Uses of Information Technology: Report of a Workshop*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- CEO Forum. (2001). *Key Building Blocks for Student Achievement in the 21st Century*. Washington, DC: CEO Forum on Education and Technology.
- CITEd (Center for Implementing Technology in Education). (2009). *Lessons learned for effective technology implementation*. Washington, DC: Center for Implementing Technology in Education.

- Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2003). Strip mining for gold: Research and policy in educational technology—A response to *Fool's Gold*. *Educational Technology Review*, 11(1), 7–69.
- CollegeDegree.Com (2008). *100 Web Tools for Learning with a Disability*.
- Collis, B., & Margaryan, A. (2004). Applying activity theory to computer supported collaborative learning and work-based activities in corporate settings. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 52(4), 38-52.
- Corbell, K., Osborne, J., & Grable, L. L. (2008). Examining the Performance Standards for Inservice Teachers: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Assessment of Teachers' NETS-T Expertise. *Computers in the Schools*, 25(1), 10-24.
- Corn, J. (2009). Investigating the quality of the School Technology Needs Assessment (STNA): A validity and reliability study. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58(4), 353-376.
- Curry, C. (2003). Universal design accessibility for all learners. *Educational Leadership*, 61(2).
- Dalgarno, N., & Colgan, L. (2007). Supporting novice elementary mathematics teachers' induction in professional communities and providing innovative forms of pedagogical content knowledge development through information and communication technology. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23, 1051-1065.
- Dede, C., Honan, J., & Peters, L. (2005). *Scaling up success: Lessons from technology-based educational improvement*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Eichleay, K. & DuBuske, S. (2005). *Assistive Technology Continuum of Low to High Tech Tools*. Boston, MA: Boston Public Schools Access Technology Center.
- Ertmer, P. A. (2005). Teacher pedagogical beliefs: the final frontier in our quest for technology integration? *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 53(4), 25-39.
- eSchoolNews. (2009). K-20 Success Stories and Case Studies. 2009 *Best Practices in School Technology*, Spring, 3-15.
- Fairman, J. (2004). *Trading Roles: Teachers and students learn with technology*. Orono, ME: Maine Education Policy Research Institute, University of Maine.
- Fuller, H. L. (2000). First teach their teachers: Technology support and computer use in academic subjects. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 32(4), 511-537.
- Garet, M.S., Porter, A.C., Desimone, L., Birman, B.F., & Yoon, K.S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915-45.
- Garmston, R. & Wellman, B. (1999). *The adaptive school: A sourcebook for developing collaborative groups*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.

- Glazer, E., Hannafin, M. J., & Song, L. (2005). Promoting technology integration through collaborative apprenticeship. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 53(4), 57-67.
- Gray, T., Silver Pacuilla, H., Overton, C., & Brann, A. (2010). *Unleashing the power of innovation for assistive technology*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Gray, T., Silver-Pacuilla, H., & Overton, C. (2009). *Learning and assistive technology: Thriving in a global marketplace*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research, National Center for Technology Innovation.
- Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., MacFarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 82 (4), 581–629.
- Guldborg, K., & Pilkington, R. (2006). A community of practice approach to the development of non-traditional learners through networked learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 22, 159-171.
- Henri, F., & Pudelko, B. (2003). Understanding and analyzing activity and learning in virtual communities. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19, 474-487.
- Hirsch, S. (2006). Assessment Inventory Measures Professional Development Quality. *Journal of Staff Development*, 63-64.
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2009). *National educational technology standards and performance indicators for administrators, teachers, and students*. Eugene, OR: ISTE (International Society for Technology in Education).
- Izzo, M., Yurick, A., & McArrel, B. (2009). Supported eText: Effects of text-to-speech on access and achievement for high school students with disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 24(3), 9–20.
- Jackson, A. & Davis, G. (2000). *Turning points 2000: Educating adolescents in the 21<sup>st</sup> century*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Kessell, S. (2000). Creating a web-based learning technologies degree for K-12 teachers. *The Technology Source*.
- Kirshstein, R., Birman, B., Quinones, Levin, D., & Stephens, M. (2000). *The first-year implementation of the technology literacy challenge fund in five states*.
- Kulik, J. (2002). *School mathematics and science programs benefit from instructional technology* (InfoBrief). Washington, DC: National Science Foundation.
- Lieberman, A. & Miller, L. (1999). *Teachers--Transforming their world and their work*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

- McCannon, M., & Crews, T. (2000). Assessing the technology training needs of elementary school teachers. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 8(2), 111–121.
- Microsoft Corp. (2009). *Establishing Public/Private Partnerships*.
- Microsoft Corp. (2007). *Transforming education for the 21st Century*.
- Müller, E. (2010). *Virtual K-12 Public School Programs and Students with Disabilities: Issues and Recommendations: A Policy Proceedings Document*. Alexandria, VA: Policy Forum.
- National Center for Technology Innovation. (n.d.). Consumer Guides.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Mathematics Advisory Panel (2008). *Foundations for success: The final report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- National Center on Education and the Economy (2007). *Tough Choices, Tough Times*. The Report of the New Commission on the Skills of the American Workforce.
- Norton, P., & Gonzales, C. (1998). Regional educational technology assistance—Phase II: Evaluating a model for statewide professional development. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 31(1) 25–48.
- O'Dwyer, L. et al. (2010). *e-Learning for Educators Effects of On-Line Professional Development on Teachers and their Students: Findings from Four Randomized Trials*.
- Chestnut Hill, MA: Technology and Assessment Study Collaborative, Lynch School of Education, Boston College.
- Overton, C., Volkman, C., Silver-Pacuilla, H., & Gray, T. (2008). Understanding consumer needs through market research. *Assistive Technology Outcomes and Benefits*, 5(1), 4–18.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills and Citizen Schools (2006). *Young People Urgently Need New Skills to Succeed in the Global Economy*.
- Pitta, D.A., & Fowler, D. (2005). Online consumer communities and their value to new product developers. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 14(5) 283-291.
- Quinn, B. S., Berhmann, M., Mastriopieri, M., & Chung, Y. (2009). *Who is using assistive technology in schools?* *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 24(1), 1–13.
- Rubenstein, G. (2010). Personalized Learning and the School of One. *Edutopia*.
- Sammon, G. & Becton, M. (2001). Principles of partnerships. *Principal Leadership*, 1(6), 32.
- SETDA. (n.d.). *Competitive Grants Research Module*. Washington, DC: SETDA

- SETDA. (2005). *SETDA TAPP Handbook: Ed Tech State Research Examples*. Washington, DC: SETDA.
- Smith, J. (2001). Education Foundations: Changing Public Education and the Way Connecticut Communities Pay for It. *CPEC Best Practices Report*, 2(1).
- Shamburg, C. (2004). Conditions that inhibit the integration of technology for urban early childhood teachers. *Information Technology in Childhood Education Annual*, 227-244.
- Staples, A., Pugach, M.C., & Himes, D. (2005). Rethinking the technology integration challenge: Cases from three urban elementary schools. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 37(3), 285-311.
- Stein, M. K., Smith, M. S., & Silver, E. A. (1999). The development of professional developers: Learning to assist teachers in new settings in new ways. *Harvard Educational Review*, 69(3), 237-269.
- The Council for Corporate & School Partnerships. (n.d.) *A how-to guide for school-business partnerships*.
- The Council for Corporate & School Partnerships. (n.d.) *Guiding principles for business and school partnerships*.
- Tomlinson, C. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- U.S. Department of Education (2004). *No Child Left Behind: A Toolkit for Teachers*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of the Under Secretary, Planning and Evaluation Service. (2000). *Does professional development change teaching practice? Results from a three-year study*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Vaillancourt Rosenau, P. (1999). Introduction: The strengths and weaknesses of public-private partnerships. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43, 10-34.
- Wahl, L. & Duffield, J. (2005). *Using Flexible Technology to Meet the Needs of Diverse Learners: What Teachers Can Do*. WestEd Knowledge Brief.
- Watson, J. et al. (2009). *Keeping the Pace with Online Learning: An Annual Review of State-Level Policy and Practice*. Evergreen, CO: Evergreen Education Group.

## **Resources:**

- Accessible Technologies for All Students. <http://www.accessibletech4all.org/>
- A Guide for Proposal Writing. *National Science Foundation*.  
<http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/1998/nsf9891/nsf9891.htm>

A Proposal Writing Short Course. *The Foundation Center*.

<http://fdncenter.org/learn/shortcourse/prop1.html>

Basic Elements of Grant Writing. *Corporation for Public Broadcasting*.

<http://www.cpb.org/grants/grantwriting.html>

Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST), <http://www.cast.org/>, has earned international recognition for its innovative contributions to educational products, classroom practices, and policies.

Center for Implementing Technology in Education, [www.CITEd.org](http://www.CITEd.org), is a Web site for vetted resources on implementing technology into teaching and learning, categorized into custom searches and role-based responsibilities.

The Learn Center, [http://www.cited.org/index.aspx?page\\_id=2](http://www.cited.org/index.aspx?page_id=2), contains hundreds of vetted web-based resources, categorized by topic and roles.

The Research Center, [http://www.cited.org/index.aspx?page\\_id=13](http://www.cited.org/index.aspx?page_id=13), contains dozens of articles written for practitioners on focused topics around the use of emerging technologies for learning.

The EdTechLocator, <http://www.edtechlocator.org>, is a role-based map and self-assessment for implementation teams to use for technology planning and implementation.

Developing and writing grant proposals. *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance*.

[http://12.46.245.173/pls/portal30/CATALOG.GRANT\\_PROPOSAL\\_DYN.show](http://12.46.245.173/pls/portal30/CATALOG.GRANT_PROPOSAL_DYN.show)

Grant proposal information. *The Center for Nonprofit Management*.

<http://www.silcom.com/~paladin/promaster.html>

Grant Writing Tips. *SchoolGrants*.

<http://www.schoolgrants.org/tips.htm>

Learning Forward (FKA National Staff Development Council or NSDC),

<http://www.learningforward.org/>, views high quality staff development programs as essential to creating schools in which all students and staff members are learners who continually improve their performance.

Microsoft Accessibility Center.

<http://www.microsoft.com/enable/>

National Center for Technology Innovation, [www.NationalTechCenter.org](http://www.NationalTechCenter.org), project connects implementation leaders, technology developers, policymakers, and consumer technology trends and research.

The TechMatrix, <http://www.techmatrix.org>, a free, searchable online database of products reviewed for their accessibility and universal design features along with a database of research on the use of technology for students with disabilities.

Consumer Guides, <http://www.techmatrix.org/consumerGuides.aspx>, decision-support tools for school administrators as they consider purchasing and implementing technology.

National Center On Universal Design for Learning, <http://www.udlcenter.org/>, founded in 2009, supports the effective and wide-scale implementation of UDL by connecting stakeholders in the field and providing resources and information.

School Funding and Education Grants. *eSchoolNews.com*. [www.eschoolnews.com/funding/](http://www.eschoolnews.com/funding/)